



**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
BEAUFORT DIVISION**

CORVIN JAUWAN YOUNG,  
Petitioner,

vs.

SHERIFF CHUCK WRIGHT,  
Respondent.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 9:24-03114-MGL

---

---

**ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
AND DISMISSING THE PETITION WITHOUT PREJUDICE,  
WITHOUT LEAVE TO AMEND,  
AND WITHOUT REQUIRING RESPONDENT TO FILE A RETURN**

---

---

Petitioner Corvin Jauwan Young (Young) filed this 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition (the petition) against Respondent Sheriff Chuck Wright (Wright). Young is representing himself.

The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting the petition be dismissed without prejudice, without leave to amend, and without requiring Wright to file a return. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may

accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on August 16, 2024, but Young failed to file any objections to the Report. “[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. It is therefore the judgment of this Court the petition be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, without leave to amend, and without requiring Wright to file a return.

To the extent Young asks for a certificate of appealability, such request is **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Signed this 30th day of January 30th, 2024, in Columbia, South Carolina.

/s/ Mary Geiger Lewis

MARY GEIGER LEWIS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\*\*\*\*\*

**NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

Young is hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within thirty days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.